### Introduction to classifiers

Henrik Skov Midtiby hemi@mmmi.sdu.dk

2014-11-05

### The classification problem



▲ロト ▲母 ト ▲目 ト ▲目 ト 一目 - のへぐ

### Instance: Feature vector $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and class relation ship $y \in -1, 1$ . Training: Given a set of feature vectors and corresponding class label.

Classification: Predict which class a new feature vector belongs to.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Nearest neighbor



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲匡▶ ▲匡▶ ― 臣 … のへで

### Nearest neighbor

Approach

- Find nearest known sample
- Return class of that sample

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Properties

- instance based learning
- distance metric

### Nearest neighbor

Advantages

easy training

Disadvantages

- slow classification
- large memory requirements

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

## k Nearest neighbor



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 三 - のへ⊙

### k Nearest Neighbor

- Generalization of Nearest Neighbor
- Find the k nearest neighbours
- Determine class by majority vote

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/K-nearest\_neighbor\_algorithm

Bayes rule



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = のへで

### Bayes rule

The probability of A and B can be expressed in two ways

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$P(A,B) = P(A) \cdot P(B|A) = P(B) \cdot P(A|B)$$

which is rearranged to Bayes rule

$$P(B|A) = \frac{P(B) \cdot P(A|B)}{P(A)}$$

often it is used as

$$P(B|A) = \frac{P(B,A)}{P(B,A) + P(\neg B,A)}$$

### Naive Bayes

Approximates conditional probability densities Uses Bayes rule to infer class membership probabilities based on observations and the conditional probability densities.

$$p(C = 1|F_1, F_2, F_3) = \frac{p(C = 1, F_1, F_2, F_3)}{p(C = 1, F_1, F_2, F_3) + p(C = 2, F_1, F_2, F_3)}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Naive Bayes

#### Advantages

- fast classification
- Iow memory requirements
- probability of belonging to certain classes
- requires relative few training samples

Disadvantages

assuming independent features

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Naive\_Bayes\_classifier

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Bayes theorem

Joint probability

$$p(C, F_1, F_2, F_3) = p(F_1, F_2, F_3 | C) \ p(C)$$
  
=  $p(F_1, F_2 | F_3, C) \ P(F_3 | C) \ p(C)$   
=  $p(F_1 | F_2, F_3, C) \ P(F_2, | F_3, C) \ P(F_3 | C) \ p(C)$ 

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Naive assumption

$$p(C, F_1, F_2, F_3) \simeq p(F_1|C) P(F_2, |C) P(F_3|C) p(C)$$
$$\simeq \left[\prod_{k=1}^3 p(F_k|C)\right] \cdot p(C)$$

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bayes%27\_theorem

### Conditional probabilities



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲注▶ ▲注▶ 注目 のへで

### Support vector machines

Advantages

state of art classification results

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- Disadvantages
  - binary classification

### Perceptron



$$f(\vec{x}) = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{w}$$

・ロト・西ト・西ト・日・ 日・ シュウ

### Perceptron

Invented in 1957 by Frank Rosenblatt Works on linearly separable problems Decision rule

$$f(ec{x}) = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } ec{w} \cdot ec{x} + b > 0 \ -1 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Demonstration at https://www.khanacademy.org/cs/perceptron-classifier/ 993241235

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Perceptron

### Perceptron classifier



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲匡▶ ▲匡▶ ― 臣 … のへで

### Perceptron classifier



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲注▶ ▲注▶ 注目 のへで

## **Perceptron Algorithm**

argument: 
$$X := \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \mathfrak{X}$$
 (data)  
 $Y := \{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subset \{\pm 1\}$  (labels)  
function  $(w, b) = \operatorname{Perceptron}(X, Y, \eta)$   
initialize  $w, b = 0$   
repeat  
Pick  $(x_i, y_i)$  from data  
if  $y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \leq 0$  then  
 $w' = w + y_i x_i$   
 $b' = b + y_i$   
until  $y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) > 0$  for all  $i$   
end



### Perceptron example



#### Demonstration at

https://www.khanacademy.org/cs/perceptron-classifier/ 993241235 Perceptron training as a optimization problem

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize:} \\ f(\vec{w}, b) = 0 \end{array}$ 

Subject to:  $1 - y_i (\vec{w}^T \vec{x}_i + b) \leq 0$ 

Find a feasible solution.

## Linearly non separable problems



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲注▶ ▲注▶ … 注: のへ⊙

Linearly non separable problems – Decision surface



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3liCbRZPrZA

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9NrALgHFwTo

### Derived features

If the classification problem is not linearly separable...

Map the input feature space to an extended feature space.

An example

$$\phi([x_1, x_2, x_3]) = [x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, x_1x_2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3]$$
  
$$\phi([z_1, z_2, z_3]) = [z_1^2, z_2^2, z_3^2, z_1z_2, z_1z_3, z_2z_3]$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

### **Derived** features



▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ ≣ のへで

### **Derived** features



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = 釣��

## **Perceptron Algorithm**

argument: 
$$X := \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \mathfrak{X}$$
 (data)  
 $Y := \{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subset \{\pm 1\}$  (labels)  
function  $(w, b) = \operatorname{Perceptron}(X, Y, \eta)$   
initialize  $w, b = 0$   
repeat  
Pick  $(x_i, y_i)$  from data  
if  $y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) \leq 0$  then  
 $w' = w + y_i x_i$   
 $b' = b + y_i$   
until  $y_i(w \cdot x_i + b) > 0$  for all  $i$   
end



## **Perceptron on Features**

argument: 
$$X := \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \mathcal{X}$$
 (data)  
 $Y := \{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subset \{\pm 1\}$  (labels  
function  $(w, b) = \operatorname{Perceptron}(X, Y, \eta)$   
initialize  $w, b = 0$   
repeat  
Pick  $(x_i, y_i)$  from data  
if  $y_i(w \cdot \Phi(x_i) + b) \leq 0$  then  
 $w' = w + y_i \Phi(x_i)$   
 $b' = b + y_i$   
until  $y_i(w \cdot \Phi(x_i) + b) > 0$  for all  $i$   
end

### **Important detail**

$$w = \sum_{j} y_{j} \Phi(x_{j})$$
 and hence  $f(x) = \sum_{j} y_{j} (\Phi(x_{j}) \cdot \Phi(x)) + b$ 



ICT AUSTRA

)

## **Kernel Perceptron**

argument: 
$$X := \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \mathcal{X}$$
 (data)  
 $Y := \{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subset \{\pm 1\}$  (labels)  
function  $f = \operatorname{Perceptron}(X, Y, \eta)$   
initialize  $f = 0$   
repeat  
Pick  $(x_i, y_i)$  from data  
if  $y_i f(x_i) \leq 0$  then  
 $f(\cdot) \leftarrow f(\cdot) + y_i k(x_i, \cdot) + y_i$   
until  $y_i f(x_i) > 0$  for all  $i$   
end

### **Important detail**

$$w = \sum_{j} y_{j} \Phi(x_{j})$$
 and hence  $f(x) = \sum_{j} y_{j} k(x_{j}, x) + b$ .



### The kernel trick

Use the derived features from earlier

$$\phi([x_1, x_2, x_3]) = [x_1^2, x_2^2, x_3^2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_3, \sqrt{2}x_2x_3]$$
  
$$\phi([z_1, z_2, z_3]) = [z_1^2, z_2^2, z_3^2, \sqrt{2}z_1z_2, \sqrt{2}z_1z_3, \sqrt{2}z_2z_3]$$

Now the dot product of  $\phi(x)$  and  $\phi(z)$  can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi([x_1, x_2, x_3]) \cdot \phi([z_1, z_2, z_3]) \\ &= x_1^2 z_1^2 + x_2^2 z_2^2 + x_3^2 z_3^2 + 2x_1 x_2 z_1 z_2 + 2x_1 x_3 z_1 z_3 + 2x_2 x_3 z_2 z_3 \\ ([x_1, x_2, x_3] \cdot [z_1, z_2, z_3])^2 \\ &= x_1^2 z_1^2 + x_2^2 z_2^2 + x_3^2 z_3^2 + 2x_1 x_2 z_1 z_2 + 2x_1 x_3 z_1 z_3 + 2x_2 x_3 z_2 z_3 \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Two different methods of computing the same value, lets use the fastest one.

### Kernel perceptron example



Demonstration at https://www.khanacademy.org/cs/ perceptron-classifier-kernel-version/1116691580

## SVM



### SVM

The "best possible" perceptron (largest margin). Soft classifier (for handling errors).



http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Support\_vector\_machine

Perceptron training as a optimization problem

#### Minimize:

$$f(\vec{w},b) = 0 \tag{1}$$

#### Subject to:

$$y_i(\vec{w}^T\vec{x}_i+b)\geq 1$$

Find a feasible solution.

(2)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

### SVM optimization problem

### Minimize:

$$f(\vec{w}, b) = \frac{1}{2} ||\vec{w}||^2 \tag{3}$$

Subject to:

$$y_i(\vec{w}^T \vec{x}_i + b) \ge 1 \tag{4}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Find maximum margin separating hyperplane.

SVM optimization problem - non separable case

#### Minimize:

$$f(\vec{w},b) = \frac{1}{2} ||\vec{w}||^2 + C \sum_i \varepsilon_i$$
(5)

Subject to:

$$y_i(\vec{w}^T \vec{x}_i + b) - \varepsilon_i \ge 1 \tag{6}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Find maximum margin separating hyperplane allowing a few misclassifications.

SVM optimization problem - kernelized

#### Minimize:

$$f(\vec{w}, b) = \frac{1}{2} ||\vec{w}||^2 + C \sum_i \varepsilon_i$$
(7)

Subject to:

$$y_i\left(\sum_j w_j k(\vec{x}_j, \vec{x}_i) + b\right) - \varepsilon_i \ge 1$$
(8)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Find maximum margin separating hyperplane allowing a few misclassifications.

## **SV Classification Machine**



output  $\sigma(\Sigma \upsilon_i k(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}_i))$ 

weights

dot product  $<\Phi(\mathbf{x}), \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i) \ge k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i)$ 

mapped vectors  $\Phi(x_i)$ ,  $\Phi(x)$ 

support vectors  $x_1 \dots x_n$ 

< ⊡ >

∃

test vector x

< □ ▶



Combination of several simple classifiers, which are allowed to make certain error types

Advantages

- state of art classification results
- Disadvantages
  - binary classification

Used for face recognition in Viola, P., & Jones, M. J. (2004). Robust Real-Time Face Detection. International Journal of Computer Vision, 57(2), 137154. doi:10.1023/B:VISI.0000013087.49260.fb



・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・







▲ロト ▲圖 ト ▲ 国 ト ▲ 国 ト ● ④ ● ●



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで



Go golfing based on the parameters

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- weather forecast
- wind
- humidity

### Decision trees



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

http://www.saedsayad.com/decision\_tree.htm

Combination of a large quantity of decision trees Advantages

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

state of art classification results

Disadvantages

- difficult to explain ...
- ▶ ?

### Cross validation

Method for using the entire dataset for training and testing.



### Ressources

# An Introduction to Machine Learning with Kernels, Alexander J. Smola, 2004